

**Evaluation of Functional Outcome of Pre-Contoured Olecranon Locking Plate in Fractures of the Olecranon**

Dr Balu R, Dr Loveneesh G Krishna, Dr Shambhu Prasad, Dr Satyamurty P, Dr Narendran P,

Dr Kavish Singh

¹Dr Balu R, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), DNB, Senior resident, central institute of orthopedics, VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi²Dr. Loveneesh G Krishna, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), Director Professor, Central institute of orthopedics, VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi³Dr. Shambhu Prasad, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), senior resident, Central institute of orthopedics VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi.⁴Dr. Satyamurty P, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), DNB, Senior resident, Central institute of orthopedics VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi.⁵Dr. Narendran P, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), senior resident, Central institute of orthopedics VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi.⁶Dr. Kavish Singh, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), DNB, Senior resident, Central institute of orthopedics, VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi.**Correspondence Author:** Dr. Shambhu Prasad, MBBS, MS (orthopedics), senior resident, Central institute of orthopedics, VMMC & Safdarjung hospital, New delhi.**Type of Publication:** Original Research Paper**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil**Abstract****Objective:** Our present study aims to evaluate the functional outcome of precontoured olecranon locking plate for fractures of the olecranon in skeletally mature patients.**Design:** Consecutive case series.**Setting:** Tertiary center**Patients:** The study was performed on thirty one skeletally mature patients with displaced fractures of the olecranon (Mayo Type II and III).**Intervention:** Open reduction and internal fixation of displaced olecranon fractures with pre contoured olecranon locking plate.**Main Outcome Measurements:** Patients were assessed by measuring the range of motion and Mayo Elbow

Performance Score (MEPS) and Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI). Serial radiographs were reviewed preoperatively for fracture classification and associated fractures, immediately postoperatively, and at the time of final review for adequacy and maintenance of reduction, evidence of union and arthritis.

Results: At 1 year of follow up, the mean flexion of elbow was 123.7° (range 90-130°), while the mean extension lag was 5.64° (range 0-30°). The MEPS index showed 1 patient having fair result, 6 patients having good results and 24 patients having excellent results. None of the patients had poor results. The mean MEPS were 90.65.

All patients showed full radiological union.

Conclusions: 'Pre-contoured Olecranon Locking Plate' provides rigid internal fixation allowing vigorous early mobilization at the elbow, especially in comminuted fractures of the olecranon. It shows an excellent rate of radiological union. There was no case of implant failure in our study, even in comminuted fractures. Thus it is a viable alternative to other forms of fixation of olecranon fractures and is a versatile implant which can be used in all types of olecranon fractures with minimal complication rate.

Keywords: Olecranon fracture; Pre contoured olecranon locking plate; Tension band wiring

Introduction

Olecranon fractures accounts for approximately 10% of fractures around the elbow and 2% of all fractures of the upper limb¹. Olecranon fractures are often caused by direct trauma such as fall on the elbow or by indirect trauma such as falling on partially flexed elbow, with indirect forces by the triceps muscle avulsing the olecranon.² Olecranon fractures can occur at any age but are most common in the first three decades of life while fractures of the proximal ulna occur predominantly in older patients² Fractures of the olecranon being intra-articular, and since the olecranon effectively functions as the fulcrum of the lever arm of the elbow, it is necessary to restore precise anatomical alignment and articular congruity with rigid fixation, so that early movement can be encouraged.³ Nowadays, operative treatment is the management of choice for all displaced olecranon fractures.

For long, tension band wiring was considered the gold standard for the treatment of minimally displaced and comminuted fractures of the olecranon.⁴ However in comminuted fractures with bone loss results are far from satisfactory with difficulty in initiating early movement and leading to contraction of sigmoid notch.⁵ Subchondral

bone comminution opposite the tension-band construct may cause failure in compression.⁶

A biomechanical study by Fyfe IS et al. confirms significantly more stable fixation was achieved by plate fixation in comminuted osteotomies and hence allowing early mobilisation.⁷ Moreover, locking compression plates provide superior mechanical stability at the fracture line because they provide angular stability.⁸ Further, locking screws have been shown to provide excellent stability even with unicortical purchase.⁹ Studies have shown the pre-contoured olecranon locking plate to be more effective with a lower rate of symptomatic hardware and subsequent implant removal than tension band wiring.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the clinical results and functional outcome in management of olecranon fractures with the Pre-contoured Olecranon Locking Plate.

Materials and Methods

Thirty one skeletally mature patients with displaced fractures of the olecranon (Mayo type II and III) who presented to the orthopedic emergency and the Out-Patient department from August 2012 to March 2013 were included in the study. Exclusion criteria in the study were undisplaced fractures of the olecranon, patients with local infection or soft tissue defects around the fracture site and patients with poor general condition. The average age of the patients was 33.68 years (range, 22 to 56 years). Out of the 31 patients in the study, 21(68%) were male, and 10(32%) were female. The most common mode of injury was fall from stairs/ height on their elbow or outstretched arm which amounted to 20 cases (64.5%). Road traffic accidents were responsible in 11(35.5%) cases. The dominant hand was involved in 19 out of the 31 patients. The Mayo Classification was used to classify the fracture pattern.¹⁰ Out of the 31 patients, 15(48.4%) had type IIA fractures while 13(42%) patients had type IIB fractures. Type III fractures were uncommon with 1(3.2%) patient

having type IIIA and 2(6.4%) patients having type IIIB fractures. Patients having type I undisplaced fractures were not included in the study.

The surgery was performed under regional anesthesia or general anesthesia in lateral decubitus position under tourniquet control. A posterior midline incision was given with a slight lateral curve at the point of the elbow. The fracture was temporarily fixed with K-wires and reduction assessed fluoroscopically. Then, a longitudinal slit was made in the triceps tendon to allow for optimal positioning of the plate over the tip of the olecranon. The plate was then fixed with the help of bicortical locking/non-locking screws in the distal fragment and unicortical screws in the proximal fragment. Finally, a 'home-run screw' was passed from the apex of the olecranon crossing the fracture towards the base of the coronoid. Additional K-wires were used for inter-fragmentary fixation in cases of severe comminution. The stability of the fixation checked intra-operatively through full range of motion of the elbow. Guarded passive and assisted-active range of motion exercises were started on the 2nd post-operative day. Suture removal was done on the 14th post-operative day and range of motion exercises were continued. The patients were followed up serially at 3, 6, 12 weeks, 6 months and 1 year. All patients were followed up for a minimum of 1 year. Patients were assessed by measuring the range of motion and Mayo Elbow Performance Score (MEPS) and Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI) (**Table 1**). Serial radiographs were reviewed preoperatively for fracture classification and associated fractures, immediately postoperatively, and at the time of final review for adequacy and maintenance of reduction, evidence of union and arthritis.

Results

The mean duration of surgery was 64.5 minutes (range 45-90 min). At one year of follow up, the mean flexion at

the elbow at final follow up of 1 year was 123.7° (range 90-130°), while the mean extension at the elbow at 1 year was 5.64° (range 0-30°). The MEPS index at one year of follow up showed 1(3.2%) patient having fair result, 6(19.4%) patients having good results and 24(77.4%) patients having excellent results. None of the patients had poor results. The mean MEPS at 1 year of final follow up was 90.65 (**Table 2**). A negative correlation was found between MEPS at 1 year and Mayo classification (**Graph 1**); that is higher grades of Mayo classification had lower MEPS scores compared and vice versa. A negative correlation was found between the day of surgery following injury and MEPS at 1 year; that is the later the day of surgery following injury, the lesser the MEPS at 1 year. The mean MEPS of patients operated within 10 days was 93.2 compared the mean MEPS of patients operated after 10 days which was 82.5 (**Graph 2**). Reduction was maintained until union in all thirty one patients. The average time for radiological union ranged from 6 to 12 weeks with an average time of 10 weeks. All patients showed full radiological union at follow up of 1 year and there was no case of non-union. Out of the 31 patients, 1(3.2%) patient had superficial infection which was managed with wound debridement and intravenous antibiotics. The superficial infection healed uneventfully within a few days. 1(3.2%) of the patients had prominent implant causing skin impingement and may require implant removal at a later date. 1(3.2%) of the patients had chronic pain for over 6 months with restriction of motion at the elbow (ROM 30-90°). This patient had an open comminuted fracture of the olecranon and was operated 44 days after the injury.

Discussion

The aim of operative treatment of fractures of the olecranon is restoration of the articular congruity of the ulno-humeral joint with rigid fixation, so that early

mobilization of the elbow and rehabilitation can be initiated.⁵ 31 patients with fractures of the olecranon were treated by open reduction and internal fixation with the 'Pre-contoured Olecranon Locking Plate'. Only closed fractures were included in the study.

All the 31 fractures in the study showed radiological union at follow up. The average time for radiological union was 10 weeks with a range from 6 to 12 weeks. At 12 weeks all fractures had united except in 4 patients. Ultimately all fractures united at 1 year of follow up. In a study by Meredith L. Anderson et al, of the 32 patients treated with congruent elbow plate fixation, 30 achieved radiographic union. The average time to radiological union was 11.6 weeks.⁵ In another Seibenlist S et al, in 15 patients with fractures of the olecranon who underwent locking plate osteosynthesis, the mean time to union was 11 weeks.¹¹ In a study by Donald Macko et al, in 20 patients treated with TBW, 60% of the fractures healed by 12 weeks, 90% by 5 months and 95% by 7 months which was significantly later than our study.¹² The average elbow range of motion at 1 year of follow up was from 5.64° (0-30°) to 123.7° (90-130°). The average arc of motion was 118.06° at the end of 1 year of follow up. 26 of the 31 patients in our group had an extension deficit of 10° or lesser while only 5 patients had an extension deficit of more than 10°. Similarly only 5 patients had a flexion of lesser than 120°. In a study by Meredith L Anderson et al on 32 patients with olecranon fractures treated with Mayo Congruent Elbow Plating System, the mean range of motion at final follow up was 120° which was similar to our study. The mean extension deficit was 13.6° in their study.⁵ In another study by Geert Buijze et al, the mean range of motion was 123° with an extension deficit of 13°.¹³ In another study by Ramazan Erden Erturer et al, the mean range of motion was 116°.¹⁴ In a study by Mary C Hume et al, comparing the results of fracture fixation by

TBW and One-third Tubular Plating, the mean extension deficit in the TBW group was 10° and 7° in the plating group.¹³

The **MEPS (Mayo Elbow Performance Score)** was used because it emphasizes on the more important patient outcome factors such as pain, range of motion and whether the patient is able to do his activities of daily living. In our study, the mean MEPS at 1 year of follow up were 90.65. Of the 31 patients in our study, 24(77.4%) patients showed excellent results, 6(19.4%) patients showed good results and 1(3.2%) patient showed fair result. None of the patients had poor results at final follow up. In a study by Byron E Chalidis et al on treatment of olecranon fractures with TBW, 85.5% patients had good to excellent results compared to the 96.8% in our study, with 9.7% having fair result and 4.8% having poor results.⁴ In a study by Christopher S Bailey et al on the outcomes of plate fixation, 13(52%) patients had excellent results, 10(40%) good, (4%) fair and 1(4%) poor result.¹⁵ Meredith L Anderson in his study reported an average MEPS of 89, with 92% having good or excellent results.⁵ Seibenlist S in his study on pre-contoured locking plate osteosynthesis reported a mean MEPS of 97, with excellent results in 12 patients, good results in 2 patients and fair in 1 patient.¹¹ Hence, it appears that MEPS scores are better in patients who undergo plating than in patients who undergo TBW. This may be due to the more rigid fixation which permits more vigorous and early mobilization of the elbow.

Complications were observed in 3 of the 31 patients. One patient developed superficial infection which healed uneventfully with debridement and IV antibiotics. Symptomatic implant prominence was seen in 1 patient causing impingement of the overlying skin and is awaiting implant removal. Donald Macko in his study on the complications of TBW in olecranon fractures reported a

high rate of hardware prominence in 16 of his 20 patients.¹² In a study by Byron E Chalidis on TBW in olecranon fractures, hardware removal was recorded in 82% of the patients.⁴ Seibenlist S in his study on pre-contoured locking compression plates reported hardware prominence leading to implant removal in 1 of the 15 patients.¹¹ Meredith L Anderson in his study on 32 patients reported hardware prominence in 3 patients.⁵ On the basis of the above mentioned results, it can be concluded that the Pre-contoured olecranon locking plate has a low rate of hardware prominence. Chronic pain was reported in 1 of our patients. This patient presented to us more than 1 month after the injury and was operated 44 days following the injury. The patient also had restriction of movement at the elbow with a mean range of 60° (30°-90°). In a study by Christopher S Bailey on 25 patients who underwent plate fixation, 3 patients reported of chronic pain at the elbow.¹⁵ There were no other complications in our study such as myositis ossificans, implant failure, ulnar neuropathy which have been reported in previous studies. Thus, we can conclude that the ‘Pre-contoured Olecranon Locking Plate’ provides rigid internal fixation allowing vigorous early mobilization at the elbow, especially in comminuted fractures of the olecranon which is necessary to achieve a good and fully functional elbow. It shows an excellent rate of radiological union. There was no case of implant failure in our study, even in comminuted fractures, which can be attributed to the use of locking compression plates. There was a very minimal rate of complications in wound healing and due to implant prominence, in spite of the olecranon being a subcutaneous bone. We can conclude that, the ‘Pre-contoured Olecranon Locking Plate’ seems to be a viable alternative to other forms of fixation of olecranon fractures. We feel that it is a more versatile

implant which can be used in all types of olecranon fractures with minimal complication rate.

Table: 1 Mayo Elbow Performance Score

PAIN		MOTION		STABILITY		FUNCTION OF ELBOW	
None	45	>100°	20	Stable	10	Comb hair	5
Mild	30	50-100°	15	Mildly unstable	5	Feed self	5
Moderate	15	<50°	5	Fully unstable	0	Hygiene	5
Severe	0					Putting on shirt	5
						Putting on shoes	5

Mayo Elbow Performance Index

MEPS <60 – Poor

60-74 – Fair


75-89 – Good

90-100 - Excellent

Table 2: MEPS Index at 1 Year

MEPS INDEX	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
POOR	0	0
FAIR	1	3.2
GOOD	6	19.4
EXCELLENT	24	77.4

Graph 1: Correlation between Mayo Classification and MEPS at 1 Year



X-axis:

1. Mayo Type IIA
1. Mayo Type IIB


2.

ayo Type IIIA

3.

ayo Type IIIB

Graph 2: Correlation between Day of Surgery Following Injury and MEPS at 1 Year



Instrumentations

Radiological Photograph: Case: I



Pre-operative X-ray




Position of the patient



Fracture reduction and temporary fixation with k-wire



Plate fixation



Post-operative X-Ray

CASE-II



Pre-operative X-ray



Elbow in Extension

CASE-II



Post-operative X-ray



Elbow in Flexion

Clinical Photographs: Case: I



Elbow in Flexion



Elbow in Extension

CASE-III



Elbow in Flexion



Elbow in Extension

Complications



Hardware prominence



Restriction of movement

References

1. Rommens PM, Kuchle R, Schnieder RU et al. Olecranon fracture in adults, factors influencing outcome. Injury 2004, 35:1149-1157.
2. Ernest M Dalat. Fractures of the olecranon. Fracture Service of the Massachusetts General Hospital, 2004.
3. M. Ikeda, Y. Fukushima, Y. Kobayashi, Y. Oka. Comminuted fractures of the olecranon. Journal of Bone Joint Surgery [Br] 2001;83-B:805- 808.
4. Byron E Chalidis, Nick C Sachinis, Efthimios P Samoladas et al. Is tension band wiring technique the "gold standard" for the treatment of olecranon fractures? A long term functional outcome study. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research 2008 Feb, 3: 9, 1.
5. RamazanErdenErturer, Cem Sever, Mehmet MesutSonmez et al. Results of open reduction and plate osteosynthesis comminuted fracture of the olecranon. Journal of Shoulder Elbow Surgery 2011; 20: 449-454.
6. Meredith L Anderson, A Noelle Larson, Sheri M. Merten and Scott P. Steinmann. Congruent Elbow Plate Fixation of Olecranon Fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma July 2007; Vol 21(6):386-393
7. Bailey CS, MacDermid J, Patterson SD, King GJ. Outcome of plate fixation of olecranon fractures. J Orthop Trauma 2001;15:542-548.

8. Fyfe IS, Mossad MM, Holdsworth BJ. Methods of fixation of olecranon fractures. An experimental mechanical study. *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]* 1985; 67:367-72.
9. Gardner MJ, Helfet DL, Lorich DG. Has locked plating completely replaced conventional plating? *Am J Orthop* 2004; 33:439-46.
10. Haidukewych GJ. Innovations in locking plate technology. *J Am AcadOrthopSurg* 2004; 12:205-12.
11. Howard JL, Urist MR. Fracture-dislocation of the radius and ulna at the elbow joint. *Clinical Orthopaedics* 1958; 12:276-278.
12. M.E. Cabanela, B.F. Morrey. Fractures of the proximal ulna and olecranon. B.F. The elbow and its disorders. WB Saunders, Philadelphia 1993: 407–408.
13. Hume MC, Wiss DA. Olecranon fractures. A clinical and radiographic comparison of tension band wiring and plate fixation. *ClinOrthopRelatRes.* 1992;285:229–235.
14. Egol KA, Kubiak EN, Fulkerson E, Kummer FJ, Koval KJ. Biomechanics of locked plates and screws. *J Orthop Trauma.*2004;18:488–493.
15. RamazanErdenErturer, Cem Sever, Mehmet MesutSonmez et al. Results of open reduction and plate osteosynthesis comminuted fracture of the olecranon. *Journal of Shoulder Elbow Surgery* 2011; 20: 449-454.
16. Geert Buijzeand Peter Kloen. Clinical Evaluation of Locking Compression Plate Fixation for Comminuted Olecranon Fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91:2416-20.