

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR: A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com

Volume – 2, Issue – 4, July - August - 2017, Page No.: 98 - 102

Study of Risk Factors of Preterm Labour in Urban Population in Mumbai

Dr. Prasannajeet Kokate¹, Dr Priyadarshani Mane²

¹ Associate professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Govt. Medical College, Chandrapur, Maharashtra

² Junior Resident Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Grant Govt. Medical College, Mumbai, Maharashtra

Correspondence Author: Dr.Prasannajeet Kokate, Associate professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Govt. Medical College, Chandrapur, Maharashtra

Conflicts of Interest: None to Declare

Abstract

Introduction: Preterm birth, also known as premature birth, is the birth of baby at less than 37 weeks gestational age. Infants are at greater risk of cerebral palsy, delay in development, hearing problems and sight problems. These risks are greater the earlier the baby is born. The risk factors include diabetes, high blood pressure, multiple gestations, obese, underweight, urogenital infection, tobacco smoking, psychological stress etc. Preterm birth is the most common cause of death among infants worldwide. This study is designed to study the risk factors causing preterm labour.

Methodology: This is hospital based descriptive study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at a tertiary care Centre in the city of Mumbai attached to a Medical college. Study Period was one year with sample size of 400 patients.

Results: Mean age was 25.67 years. Majority of patients (43.2%) were in the age group of 21-25 years followed by 31.5% in the age group of 26-30 years. It is observed from the study that as the education increases, the chances of preterm decreases. Majority of patients were from lower socio-economic status (73.3%). It is observed that as no. of visits increases, chances of preterm decreases. Patients with 6 or more visits had only 7.6% chances of preterm labour compared to patients with 3 visits had 66.9%. Incidence of preterm labour was more in Primigravida

(34.5 %) and second gravida(34%) patients. Vaginal (24%) , urinary infection(18%) & bleeding during pregnancy(18.2%) were important risk factors for preterm labour.

Conclusion: Increasing the quality and frequency of antenatal visits, identifying risk factors and giving special care to these patients can definitely reduce the incidence of preterm labour.

Keywords: Pretem Labour, Premature Birth, Primigravida **Introduction**

Preterm birth, also known as premature birth, is the birth of baby at less than 37 weeks gestational age ¹. Infants are at greater risk of cerebral palsy, delay in development, hearing problems and sight problems. These risk are greater the earlier the baby is born ¹. The risk factors include diabetes ,high blood pressure, multiple gestation, obese, underweight, urogenital infection, tobacco smoking, psychological stress etc ^{2,3}. In those at risk, the hormone progesterone, if taken during pregnancy may prevent preterm birth. Evidence does not support usefulness of bed rest 4,5. In women who might deliver between 24 and 37 weeks corticosteroid improves outcome ^{6,7}. Preterm birth is the most common cause of death among infants worldwide¹. The chance of survival at less than 23 weeks is close to zero, while at 23 weeks it is 15%, 24 weeks 55% and 25 weeks about 80% 8. Preterm birth rates have been reported to range from 5% to 7% of

live births in some developed countries, but are estimated to be substantially higher in developing countries. This study is designed to study the risk factors causing preterm labour.

Material and methods

This is hospital based descriptive study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at a tertiary care Centre in the city of Mumbai attached to a Medical college after obtaining permission from Institutional Ethics Committee.

Study Period: One year Sample Size: 400 patiens

Inclusion Criteria: All registered and unregistered antenatal patients delivered between 24 to 36.6 weeks of gestation.

Detailed history and examination was conducted, labour details, neonatal details noted .Data analyzed & plotted.

Observations

1. Distribution of patients according to age

Age (Years)	No.	%
18-20	45	11.2
21-25	173	43.2
26-30	126	31.5
31-35	43	10.7
36-40	13	3.4

Mean age was 25.67 years. Majority of patients (43.2%) were in the age group of 21-25 years followed by 31.5% in the age group of 26-30 years.

2. Distribution of patients according to Education

Education	No.	%
Uneducated	133	33.2

Primary	132	33.1
SSC	112	28
HSC	20	5
Graduation	3	0.7

It is observed from the study that as the education increases, the chances of preterm decreases.

3. Distribution of patients according to socio-economic status.

Socio-economic status	No.	%
Lower	293	73.3
Middle	106	26.5
Upper	1	0.2

Majority of patients were from lower socio-economic status (73.3%).

4. Number of visits of Booked patients

No of visits	No.	%
3 visits	150	66.9
4 visits	32	14.3
5 visits	25	11.2
6 or more visits	17	7.6
Total	224	100

It is observed that as no. of visits increases, chances of preterm decreses. Patients with 6 or more visits had only 7.6% chances of preterm labour compared to patients with 3 visit had 66.9%.

5. Distribution of patients according to Gravida status.

Gravida status	No	%
One	138	34.5
Two	136	34
Three	80	20
Four & above	46	11.5

Incidence of preterm labour was more in Primigravida (34.5 %) and second gravida(34%) patients.

6. Association of socioeconomic risk factors and preterm delivery.

Risk factor	No.	%
Tobacco addiction	15	3.7
Malnutrition	52	13
Obesity	30	7.5
Advanced age	13	3.2

7. Association of Maternal risk factors and preterm delivery.

Dista Essaya	NT.	0/
Risk Factor	No.	%
UTI & Bacteriuria	72	18
STD	10	2.5
Bacterial vaginosis	96	24
Preeclampsia	39	9.7
Placenta previa	45	11.25
H/O Abortion	73	18.2
Bleeding of uncertain origin	98	24.5
H/O spontaneous preterm birth	13	3.25
Cervical length at 24 wks <25 mm	60	15

Utero-placental insufficiency	30	7.5
Oligohydroamnios	72	18
Multiple Gestation	36	9

Vaginal (24%), urinary infection(18%) & bleeding during pregnancy(18.2%) were important risk factors for preterm labour.

8. Distribution of patients according to cause of preterm birth

Cause of preterm	No.	%
Spontaneous	212	53
Iatrogenic	109	27.3
PROM	79	19.7

The most common cause for preterm birth was spontaneous (53%).

Discussion

The total no of deliveries at our institute during study period were 3400, so incidence of preterm delivery in our study was 11.76%. Similar finding was found in study by Das et el where incidence was 10.23% ⁹ Majority of patients (43.2%) were in the age group of 21-25 years, followed by 31.5% in the age group of 26-30 years. In a study done by Das et el late age pregnancy (age >35 years) constituted 12.88% and teenage pregnancy constituted 12.69%. This difference is due to trend of compulsory and early marriages in India.

There were 33.2% of the study group were educated upto primary level while 28% and 5% of the patients studied till SSC & HSC respectively. 33.1% patients had no education. Majority of patients in our study group were from lower class(73.3%) followed by middle class(26.5%) and upper class0.2%. This is comparable to the study done by Smith LK et el ¹⁰ and Brett KM et el ¹¹ observed Maternal demographic characteristics associated with

Incidence of preterm labour was more in primigravida (34.5%) followed by 34.2% patiens in 2nd gravida and 20% in 3rd gravida. Similar finding was found in in study done by Naoko Kozuki et el who observed that nulliparous ,age <18 years ,compared with women who were parity 1-2 had the highest odds of preterm(pooled adjusted OR:1.52) ¹⁵.

The most common risk factors for preterm delivery were bleeding of uncertain origin in pregnancy(24.5%),bactorial vaginosis (24%),abortion(18.2%), cervical length < 25 mm at 24 weeks gestation (15%),placenta praevia (11.2%)preeclampsia(9.7%) and multiple pregnancy (9%). In the similar studies done by Rao CR et el 14, Begum F 12, Diallo FB ¹³ on the risk factors for preterm labour found same observations.

Increasing the quality of antenatal care is the important way of reducing preterm labour, as less no of antenatal visits increasing the chances of preterm.

Conclusion:

Increasing the quality and frequency of antenatal visits ,identifying risk factors and giving special care to these patients can definitely reduce the incidence of preterm labour. Social development with improving female education ,reducing poverty will definitely help in reducing the incidence of preterm labour.

References

[1]. Preterm Labour and Birth: Condition Information. http://www.nichd.nih.gov.03/11/14.Retrieved 7

- March2015.Check date valuein:date=(help);External link in |website=(help)
- [2]. World Health Organisation(November 2014).Preterm birth Fact sheet N363.who.int. Retrieved 6 Mar2015.
- [3]. "What are risk factors for preterm labour and birth?" http://www.nichd.nih.gov.03/11/14.Retrieved 7
 March2015.Check| date valuein:date=(help);External link in |website=(help)
- [4]. "What treatments are used to prevent preterm labour and birth?" http://www.nichd.nih.gov.03/11/14.Retrieved 7 March2015.Check| date valuein:date=(help);External link in |website=(help).
- [5]. Sosa CG, Althabe F, Belizan JM, Bergel E(30 March 2015)."Bedrest in singleton pregnancies for preventing preterm birth". The Cocharane database of systematic reviews. 3:CD003581.doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003581.p ub3.PMID25821121.
- [6] "What treatment can reduce chances of preterm labor & birth?" http://www.nichd.nih.gov.11/6/2013.Retrieved 7 March2015.Check| date valuein: |date=(help);External link in |website=(help).
- [7] "Antenatal corticosteroid therapy for Fetal Maturation". ACOG. October 2016.Retrieved 27 September2016.
- [8]. John CP.(2012) " of the Extremely Low Birth Weight Infant".Manual of neonatal care(7th edition).Philadelphia: wolter Kluwer Health/Lippincot Williams & Wilkins.p.146.ISBN 9781608317776.
- [9]. Das A, Panda S, Ahanthem SS, Sourabh GD, and Bhanupratap SG.Preterm Birth: Analysis of Risk factors and Neonatal Outcome.gynaecology & Obstetrics Case report.iMedPub Journals2015.Vol1 No1:1.
- [10]. Smith LK, Draper ES, Manktelow BN, Dorling JS and Field DJ."Socioeconomic inequalities in very preterm birth rates"Archieves of Disease in Childhood:Fetal and Neonatal,vol 92,no.1,ppF11-F14 2007.

- [11]. Brett KM, Strogatz DS, and Savitz DA ."Employment, job strain and preterm delivery among women in North Carolina". American Journal of Public Health,vol87,no.2 pp199-204,1997.
- [12]. Beum F, Buckshe K, Pande JN (2003)Risk factors associated with preterm laour.Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull29:59-66.
- [13]. Diall FB, Diallo MS, Sylla M, Diaw ST, Diallo TS (1998) [Premature delivery:epidemiology,etiologic factors,prevention straties.]Dakar Med:70-73.
- [14]. Rao CR,de Ruiter Lee,Bhat P, Kamath V, Kamath A and Bhat V. "A Case Control Study on Risk Factors for preterm Deliveries in a Secondary Care Hospital, Southern India". ISRN Obstetrics & Gynaecology,vol 2014,Article ID 935982, 5 pages,2014 doi:10.1155/2014/935982.
- [15]. Naoko Kozuki . "The association of parity and maternal age with small-for gestational-age, preterm, neonatal and infant mortality: a meta analysis" vol 13, supplement 3,BMC public health.