

# International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR: A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com

Volume - 3, Issue -4, July - 2018, Page No.: 159 - 161

# Treatment of Mandibular Fractures with a Malleable Non-Compression Miniplate.

Deepak Meena<sup>1</sup>, Bindu Bhardwaj<sup>2</sup>, Ruchika Tiwari<sup>3</sup>, Vikas Kunwar Singh<sup>4</sup>, Amit Bhamboo<sup>5</sup>, Vaishali Jamdade<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Resident Doctor, <sup>2,3,4</sup> Professor, <sup>5,6</sup> Senior Lecturer.

Department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Mahatma Gandhi dental College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan

Correspondence Author: Vikas Kunwar Singh, Professor, Department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Mahatma

Gandhi dental College & Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan

**Type of Publication:** Original Research Paper

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

## **Abstract**

**Background-** Bone is a dynamic tissue and its basic function is to carry load and to support and protect organs. The strength & rigidity of bone are therefore its primary qualities.

**Methods-** The study comprised of 15 patients having mandibular fractures, attending the outpatients department and emergency of Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Mahatma Gandhi dental College & Hospital Jaipur.

**Results-** In the present study maximum number of patients was treated within time lapse of 8-11 days (47%). Mean time lapse between injury and definitive management was seen to be 8.7 days.

**Conclusion-** The Non-compression, thin, Malleable Miniplate in mandibular fractures is efficacious enough to bear masticatory loads during the osteosynthesis of fracture.

**Keywords-** Malleable Miniplate, Mandible, Fracture.

### Introduction

Bone is a dynamic tissue and its basic function is to carry load and to support and protect organs. The strength & rigidity of bone are therefore its primary qualities.

Fracture of any bone in the skeleton is a painful injury which interferes with the function of the effected part of body. Most fractures heal with no long term consequence but some either fail to heal or continue to cause pain and decreased function.

A fracture is a structural failure in bone. A fracture is said to be "compound" when it punctures the skin and "closed" if it does not. A bone can be compounded from the outside by a bullet or other object. More commonly the sharp bone end punctures the skin from inside. The significance is that an open fracture invites the complication of infection<sup>1-2</sup>.

# **Material and Methods**

The study comprised of 15 patients having mandibular fractures, attending the outpatients department and emergency of Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Mahatma Gandhi dental College & Hospital Jaipur.

Preoperatively detailed medical history of the patients was recorded. Patients were diagnosed on the basis of clinical examination and radiographic interpretation. Routine investigations were done. Informed consent was taken to participate in the study.

## **Inclusion criteria**

1. The patients were taken up randomly irrespective of age, sex, caste and creed.

2. Patients with isolated fractures of mandible were selected.

## **Exclusion criteria**

- 1. Refused consent.
- 2. Patients who were suffering from major systemic disease.
- 3. Mandibular fractures with comminution and infection were excluded.
- 4. Pathological fracture.
- 5. Pregnant and lactating females.

### Result

**Table 1: Site distribution** 

Fracture site	Number of	Percentage	Total
	patients with	of patients	fractures
	fractures	with	
		fractures	
Symphysis alone	1	7	1
Parasymphysis alone	1	7	1
Angle alone	5	33	5
Parasymphysis + U/L	3	20	6
Condyle			
Parasymphysis + B/L	1	7	3
Condyle			
Symphysis + Angle	1	7	2
Angle+Body	1	7	2
Parasymphysis + Angle	2	13	4
Total	15	100	24

Angle alone was the most commonly involved site, followed by parasymphysis with unilateral condyle and parasymphysis with angle

**Table 2: Occlusion** 

	Pre op.	Post op.
Deranged	13	0
Intact	2	15

Preoperative occlusion was found to be deranged in 13 out of the 15 patients. The functional occlusion was achieved postoperatively in all the patients.

Table 3: Time Lapse between injury and definitive management

Time lapse (days)	Number of patients	Percentage
<1	-	-
1 – 3	-	-
4 – 7	5	33
8 – 11	7	47
12 – 15	3	20
Total	15	100

In the present study maximum number of patients was treated within time lapse of 8-11 days (47%). Mean time lapse between injury and definitive management was seen to be 8.7 days.

#### Discussion

The art of surgery demands that we evaluate the risk and benefits of each treatment modality and apply it appropriately to each patient. This is true in the management of maxillofacial trauma as well and mandibular fractures especially. As there are a vast variety of treatment modalities for managing mandibular fractures, it remains imperative that we should consider the anatomic, physiologic and biomechanical factors associated with managing these injuries.

It is well established that bone healing is optimized by precise anatomic reduction and rigid immobilization. Once fractures are reduced and immobilized, optimal bone repair is dependant on preservation and maintenance of intact blood supply. Movement of fractures causes disruption of the osteogenic elements and capillaries. This results in formation of poorly vascularized fibrous tissue which gives rise to complications in fracture healing like fibrous union or sometimes even non-union.

In 1973 Michelet<sup>3</sup> and later in 1978 Champy<sup>4</sup> and coworkers introduced non-compression miniplates in the treatment of mandibular fractures to overcome the disadvantages of the bulkier and technique demanding compression plating systems. Champy outlined the zones of ideal osteosynthesis in the mandible and described the

overcome the displacing forces acting on the mandible. The advantages of the mini plate osteosynthesis are: Smaller plates, easily adaptable with monocortical screw. Intraoral approach can be used with no scar formation, occlusal discrepancies are reduced, and no intermaxillary fixation is necessary thereby reducing patient discomfort. The Rationale of using monocortical plate in mandibular fracture is that synthesis by plate screwed on the outer cortical plate is solid enough to support the strain developed by masticatory muscle. On the horizontal ramus, the masticatory forces create within the mandible, elongation strain along the alveolar border and compressive strain along the lower border. Only the traction strains are injurious and have to be neutralized. The study of movements with regards to the mathematical model of mandible (Champy et al. 1978)<sup>4</sup> showed that at the level of horizontal ramus, there are almost only flexion movements, the value of which increases from the front backwards. In the anterior part of mandible, anterior to first premolar, there are mainly movements of torsion. They are higher, when they are nearer to the mandibular symphysis. Therefore the principle of osteosynthesis is to re-establish, the mechanical qualities of the mandible, taking into account the anatomical conditions.

specific areas of mandible for placement of bone plates to

# Conclusion

The Non-compression, thin, Malleable Miniplate in mandibular fractures is efficacious enough to bear masticatory loads during the osteosynthesis of fracture.

# References

- Banks,P. Killey's Fracture of the middle third of the facial Skeleton.5<sup>th</sup> Edition 1987; John Wright & Son .Bristol Pg 13.
- Rosenberg A, Gratz KW, Sailer HF. Should titanium miniplates be removed after bone healing is complete? Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1993; 22:185-188.

- 3. Michelet FX, Deymes J, Dessus B. Osteosynthesis with miniaturized screwed plates in maxillo-facial surgery. J Maxillofac Surg. 1973 Jun;1(2):79-84.
- 4. Champy M, Lodde JP, Schmidt R (1978). Mandibular osteosynthesis by miniature screwed plates via a buccal approach. *J Maxillofac Surg* 6: 14.