



**A Prospective Comparative Study to Assess the Impact of Maternal Body Mass Index on Obstetric Outcome at Tertiary Care Hospital in Rajasthan**

Manisha Bahad<sup>1</sup>, Mukesh Suwalka<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, RVRS medical college, Bhilwara, Rajasthan

**Corresponding Author:** Mukesh Suwalka, Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, RVRS medical college, Bhilwara (Rajasthan)

**Type of Publication:** Original Research Paper

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

---

**Abstract**

**Background-** The increasing prevalence of obesity in young women is a major public health concern. These trends have a major impact on pregnancy outcomes in these women.

**Methods-** Hospital based prospective comparative study was conducted on 75 women in each group including normal, high and low maternal BMI.

**Result-** The average weight gain during pregnancy was 7.40 kg in overweight category, 8.32 kg in normal weight category and 6.41 kg in underweight category. Incidence of preterm deliveries was almost equal in all categories. Postpartum complications like PPH were insignificantly higher in overweight category. Cesarean section wound infection rate was significantly more in overweight group thereby increasing the hospital stay and morbidity.

**Conclusion -**Maternal BMI shows strong associations with pregnancy complications. Attempt should be made to prevent obesity in women of childbearing age and encourage weight loss to attain ideal weight before pregnancy.

**Keywords-** BMI, Obese, Maternal out come.

**Introduction**

The increasing prevalence of obesity in young women is a major public health concern. These trends have a major impact on pregnancy outcomes in these women, which have been documented by several researchers. The rising rate of obesity is a major public health concern in the developing countries like India very few females come for preconception counselling so estimation of prepregnancy weight record is not available. Women from rural area are not aware of their weights.<sup>1</sup> so, considering this factors we decided to conduct this study as correlation of pregnancy BMI with maternal outcome. BMI provides a reliable indicator of body fat for most people and is used to screen for weight categories that may lead to health problems WHO describes obesity as one of the most blatantly visible, yet most neglected, public health problems that threaten to overwhelm both more and less developed countries.

Obesity is a major public health issue and as per WHO, it is a killer disease at par with HIV and malnutrition. Even in countries like India, significant proportion of overweight and obese coexists with the undernourished.

Lifestyle modifications over the years have led to a more sedentary lifestyle. This is of global concern, as excess bodyweight is now the sixth important risk factor contributing to disease worldwide and increased level of obesity may result in a decline in life expectancy in the future.<sup>2</sup>

Overweight and obesity in pregnant women are associated with increased risk of miscarriages, gestational diabetes, thromboembolism, hyperlipidemia, gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, recurrent infections and prolonged pregnancy.

Low maternal BMI showing imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure, might be a general marker of minimal tissue reserve. A malnourished mother gives birth to an undernourished infant who fails to thrive.

**Material and Methods**

**Study design:** Hospital based prospective comparative study.

**Study population:** women attending antenatal OPD in first trimester.

**Sample size:** 75 women in each group including normal, high and low maternal BMI in the hospital during the above said duration.

**Sampling Method:** convenience sampling

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. All pregnant women including those with normal, low and high BMI attending antenatal OPD in first trimester and not coming under exclusion criteria.
2. Singleton pregnancies
3. Patient willing to give consent

**Exclusion Criteria:**

1. Pregnancies with multiple gestation like twins, triplets
2. All cases of pregnancies with chronic medical illness like diabetes, chronic hypertension, bronchial asthma, cancer or patient on any drug therapy.
3. Pregnancies associated with diagnosed congenital malformations and intrauterine dead fetus

**Data Collection:** After taking written and informed consent and fulfilling inclusion criteria, women attending antenatal OPD in first trimester were included in the study. Their weight was measured (in kilograms) without shoes. Subjects were made to stand erect on the floor barefoot with both ankles together and parallel to each other to note their height (in meters) with the head of the patient held in such a position that the line joining the tragus and outer canthus of eye were in a horizontal plane (Frankfurts Plane) such that the individual was standing straight next to the wall with the heels, buttocks, shoulders and occiput touching the wall. 3 comparative groups of 75 women each were studied.

**Underweight group-** 75 antenatal patients with low BMI (<18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

**Normal weight group-** 75 antenatal patients with normal BMI (18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup> – 24.99kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

**Overweight group-** 75 antenatal patients with high BMI (equal to or >25kg/m<sup>2</sup>)

**Data Analysis**

To collect required information from eligible patients, a pre-structured pre-tested proforma was used. Data was analyzed with the help of mean, standard deviation and p value was calculated using T test and chi square test using primer software.

**Results**

Table 1. Distribution of Cases According To Weight Gain during Pregnancy

Weight Gain during Pregnancy (kg)	Overweight		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
< 5	8	10.67	14	18.67	35	46.67
>5-10	49	65.33	53	70.67	32	42.67
>10-15	16	21.33	7	9.33	8	10.67
>15	2	2.67	1	1.33	0	0.00
Total	75	100.00	75	100.00	75	100.00
Mean	7.40		8.32		6.41	
SD	2.96		2.61		3.08	
p value	0.0001 (Highly Significant)					

Table no. 1 shows that in overweight category, average weight gain during pregnancy was  $7.40 \pm 2.96$  kg, in underweight category it was  $6.41 \pm 3.08$  kg while it was  $8.32 \pm 2.61$  kg in normal BMI category. On comparing the weight gain in all the three groups, the difference was statistically highly significant ( $p = 0.0001$ ).

**Table 2. Distribution Of Cases According To Incidence of Preeclampsia**

Preeclampsia	Overweight		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Present	12	16.00	5	6.67	1	1.33
Absent	63	84.00	70	93.33	74	98.67
Total	75	100	75	100	75	100.00
p value	0.009 (Highly significant)					

Table no. 2 shows that preeclampsia complicated 16% of overweight pregnancies while it was 1.33% in underweight group and 6.67% in normal weight group. The difference was statistically highly significant ( $p = 0.009$ ).

**Table 3. Distribution Of Cases According To Period Of Gestation At Delivery**

Period of Gestation at Delivery	Overweight		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Early Preterm (<34 wks)	2	2.67	2	2.67	3	4.00
Late Preterm (<37 wks)	2	2.67	1	1.33	1	1.33
Term	71	94.67	72	96.00	71	94.67
Total	75	100.00	75	100.00	75	100.00
p value	> 0.051 (Not significant)					

Table no. 3 shows that majority of women in all the three groups delivered at term while 2.67% in overweight group, 4% in underweight group and 2.67% women in normal weight group delivered before 34 weeks. The percentage of late preterm delivery was 2.67% in overweight group while it was 1.33% in normal weight

and underweight group. The difference was statistically not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 4. Distribution of Cases According To Mode of Delivery**

Mode of Delivery	Overweight		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Normal Vaginal Delivery	45	60.00	59	78.67	66	88.00
LSCS	28	37.33	15	20.00	9	12.00
Instrumental (Forceps/ Vacuum)	2	2.67	01	1.33	0	0.00
Total	75	100	75	100	75	100
p value	0.002 (Highly Significant)					

**Significant**

Table no. 4 shows that 60% patients in overweight group, 78.67% patients in normal weight group and 88% in underweight group had normal vaginal delivery. LSCS rate was higher in overweight group 37.33% as compared to 20% and 12% in normal weight and underweight group respectively. Instrumental delivery rate was also more in overweight group 2.67% as compared to normal weight 1.33% and 0% in underweight group. The difference was statistically highly significant ( $p=0.002$ ).

**Table 5. Distribution Of Cases According To Incidence Of Postpartum Hemorrhage.**

Postpartum Hemorrhage (PPH)	Overweight		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Present	7	9.33	3	4.00	1	1.33
Absent	68	90.67	72	96.00	74	98.67
Total	75	100	75	100	75	100
p value	> 0.05 (Not Significant)					

Table no. 5 shows that percentage of PPH in overweight group is 9.33% as compared to 4% in normal weight group and 1.33% in underweight group. The difference was statistically not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Table 6. Distribution Of Cases According To Incidence Of Puerperal Sepsis

Puerperal Sepsis	Overweight Group		Normal Weight		Underweight	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Present	1	1.33	1	1.33	2	2.67
Absent	74	98.67	74	98.67	73	97.33
Total	75	100.00	75	100.00	75	100.00
p value	>0.05 (Not Significant)					

Table no .6 shows that 1.33% patients in overweight group had puerperal sepsis while 1.33% and 2.67% patients had puerperal sepsis in normal weight and underweight group respectively. The difference was statistically not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Discussion**

The average weight gain during pregnancy was  $7.40 \pm 2.96$  kg in overweight category while it was  $8.32 \pm 2.61$  kg in normal weight category and  $6.41 \pm 3.08$  kg in underweight category. The difference was statistically highly significant ( $p=0.0001$ ). Less than or equal to 5 kg weight gain was much more in underweight (46.67%) as compared to normal (18.67%) and overweight (10.67%) group. This could be due to malnourishment, lack of adequate nutrition, minimal body reserves, lack of awareness, poverty, early age marriages which leads to less weight gain during pregnancy in underweight. Majority of women had weight gain in the range of 5.1 to 10 kg. Similar results were shown by previous studies <sup>3,4</sup> Preeclampsia was more common in overweight group (16%) as compared to normal (6.67%) and underweight group (1.33%) in our study. The difference was statistically significant ( $p=0.009$ ). It is because obesity is associated with low grade inflammation and endothelial activation. Endothelial activation plays an integral role in preeclampsia. In a study conducted by sohinee

Bhattacharya et al,<sup>11</sup> 14.7% of obese women developed pre-eclampsia.

We found that the percentage of early preterm delivery was 4% in underweight group while it was 2.67% in both normal and overweight group. The percentage of late preterm delivery was 2.67% in overweight group while it was 1.33% in both normal and underweight group. The difference was statistically not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). The disparity was may be because as ours was a hospital based study, all subjects were booked cases who were under regular follow up and counseling; and were given adequate management and hospitalization when required, resulting in decreased chances of preterm labor. In one study conducted by Sohinee Bhattacharya et al<sup>11</sup>, incidence of pre-term labor was higher in control group and only 1.25 times increased incidence in obese group.

PPH was more common in overweight group (9.33%) as compared to normal weight (4%) and underweight group (1.33%). The difference was however statistically not significant. This could be due to increased chances of instrumental delivery in obese patients causing vaginal laceration as well as atonicity of uterus.

The infection rate after cesarean section was higher in overweight group (8%) as compared to 2.67% in underweight group and no case was there in normal weight group. This leads to more dressings, increase hospital stay, wound gaping and resuturing in overweight group.

**Conclusion**

Maternal BMI shows strong associations with pregnancy complications. Attempt should be made to prevent obesity in women of childbearing age and encourage weight loss to attain ideal weight before pregnancy.

**References**

1. Kopelman PG. Causes and consequences of obesity. Med Int. 1994;22:385-8.

2. James WP. WHO recognition of the global obesity epidemic. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2008;32(Suppl 7):S120-6.
3. Abrams B, Altman SB, Pickett KE. Pregnancy weight gain: still controversial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. May 2000; 71:1223-1241.
4. Crane JM, White J, Murphy P, Burrage L, Hutchens D. The effect of gestational weight gain by body mass index on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. Jan 2009;31:28-35.
5. Bhattacharya S, Campbell DM, Liston WA, Bhattacharya S. Effect of body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in nulliparous women delivering singleton babies. *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7:168