International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com Volume – 5, Issue –3, June - 2020, Page No. : 291 - 294

Prevalence of Congenital Malformations in Newborn and Associated Risk Factor

¹Dr Preety Aggarwal, Assistant professor, ESI, Faridabad

²Dr Jyotsna Kamra, Associate professor, MAMC, Agroha

³Dr Varun Munjal, Assistant professor, MAMC, Agroha

Corresponding Author: Dr Jyotsna Kamra, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, MAMC, Agroha

Citation this Article: Dr Preety Aggarwal, Dr Jyotsna Kamra, Dr Varun Munjal, "Prevalence of Congenital Malformations In Newborn And Associated Risk Factor", IJMSIR- June - 2020, Vol – 5, Issue -3, P. No. 291–294.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Introduction

The Global Burden of Disease study 2013 identified congenital anomalies among the top ten causes of mortality in children less than five years of age [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) document of 1972, the term congenital malformations should be confined to structural defects at birth. However, as per the more recent WHO fact-sheet of October 2012, congenital anomalies can be defined as structural or functional anomalies, including metabolic disorders, which are present at the time of birth.[2] Congenital anomalies are an important cause of neonatal mortality both in developed and developing In 2010, congenital anomalies were countries. estimated to be the fifth largest cause of neonatal deaths in India after preterm births (34.7%), intrapartum complications (19.6%), pneumonia (16.3%) and neonatal sepsis (15%) [3]. Despite this ranking, in absolute numbers, congenital anomalies were estimated to contribute to 60,699 neonatal deaths in India in 2013, which accounted for the highest global burden of neonatal mortality due to congenital anomalies (4).

Aims and Objectives

This study was undertaken to determine the prevalence and pattern of congenital anomalies in babies and to study the associated maternal and perinatal risk factors.

Materials and Methods

This prospective clinical study was carried out in the labour ward, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Maharaja Agrasen Medical College, Agroha from July 2017 to December 2017. All the babies born with congenital anomalies during this period were included.

The newborns were examined and assessed systematically for the presence of congenital anomalies. Diagnosis of congenital anomalies was based on clinical evaluation of newborn babies by the gynecologists and pediatrician and other appropriate investigations such as radiography, ultrasonography, echocardiography etc. System wise distribution of the anomalies was performed. For each case, a detailed antenatal and maternal history including the age of the mothers, parity, history of consanguinity, previous similar history were obtained by reviewing the maternal and labour ward records and by interviewing the parents.

Birth weights >2.5 kg were considered to be normal; whereas, birth weights <2.5 kg and <1.5 kg were termed as low birth weight (LBW) and very low birth weight (VLBW) respectively. Babies born at <37 completed weeks (i.e., <259 days), calculated from the 1^{st} day of last menstrual period, were considered as preterm.

Data was entered into excel data sheet and appropriate statistical analysis was performed.

Results

During the study period, 1110 newborns were born in our institution; of which 44 had congenital malformations, making the prevalence 3.9%. Among all the newborns, 2 babies were born of twin delivery. The congenital anomalies affected significantly higher proportion of male babies (5.2%) than their female counterparts (2.5%). Among total number of stillborn babies 25% had congenital malformations. The predominant system involved was central nervous system (CNS) (63.6%) mainly neural tube defect followed by cardiovascular system (18.2%) and gastrointestinal system (9.1%). According to our study majority of congenital malformation are associated with maternal age >35 years and parity greater than 3. 3/44mothers (6.8%) had a history of previous abortions, 1/44 (2.3%) was diabetic mother and 2/44 (4.5%) had a history of congenital heart disease in previous child or malformed babies.

Discussion

Congenital anomalies are important causes of increasing mortality and morbidity among childhood. Their detection rate is improving even in antenatal period due to advanced diagnostic technology, especially USG. The incidence of congenital malformation in the present study was 3.9%, which is almost comparable with the earlier studies from the hospital, which reported an incidence of 2.72 and 1.24% subsequently.[5,6] This study revealed higher incidence of anomalies in stillbirths (25%). Association of low birth weight with increased risk of congenital malformations was very well documented.[7] Our finding is in accordance with this. The incidence of congenital anomalies was significantly higher in preterm babies as compared to full term babies.[8] Previous studies and our study also have reported male preponderance amongst congenital malformed babies. This study has statistically shown that mothers, above 30 years of age, stand at a higher risk of producing malformed babies. Sugunabai[9] reported a higher incidence of malformation in the babies born to mothers aged over 35 years, whereas Datta et al.[6] documented statistically insignificant association of increased maternal age and congenital anomalies. Previous studies have[7] reported that significantly higher incidence of malformation among the mothers of gravida 4 or more and our results are consistent with this finding. This indicates that as the birth order increases, the incidence of congenital anomalies also increases. The main aim of the study is to plan measures for maternal and child health, with a main focus on prevention of congenital malformations, by health education, adequate prenatal care and organization of referral networks for major anomalies.

Kalra *et al.*[10] reported that the CNS defects have the highest incidence, whereas Sugunabi *et al.*[9] reported gastrointestinal malformations to rank the highest. Mathur *et al.*[8] reported that the musculoskeletal abnormalities were the commonest. This study showed that CNS was the most commonly affected.

Conclusion

Congenital anomalies are a major cause of mortality and morbidity. By thorough clinical examination and

Dr Jyotsna Kamra, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

ultrasound, congenital malformation can be diagnosed earlier. Evaluation of cardiovascular system to rule out congenital heart disease in a high-risk mother's baby is the important factor to be considered.

References

- Global Burden of Disease Pediatrics Collaboration, Kyu HH, Pinho C, Wagner JA, Brown JC, Bertozzi-Villa A et al. Global and National Burden of Diseases and Injuries Among Children and Adolescents Between 1990 and 2013: Findings From the Global Burden of Disease 2013 Study. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(3): 267–87. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.4276 [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- World Health Organization. Section on congenital anomalies. [Cited on 2012 Oct]. Available from:http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs 370/en/
- Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, Lawn JE, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. Lancet. 2012;379(9832): 2151–61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60560-1 [PubMed]
- Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, Perin J, Rudan I, Lawn JE, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2000–13, with projections to inform post-2015 priorities: an updated systematic analysis. Lancet. 2015;385(9966): 430–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61698-6 [PubMed]
- Chaturvedi P, Banerjee KS. Spectrum of congenital malformations in newborns from rural Maharashtra. Indian J Pediatr. 1989;56:501– 7. [PubMed]

- 5. Datta V, Chaturvedi P. Congenital malformations in rural Maharashtra. Indian Pediatr. 2000;37:998–1001.[PubMed]
- Mohanty C, Mishra OP, Das BK, Bhatia BD, Singh G. Congenital malformation in newborn: A study of 10,874 consecutive births. J Anat Soc India. 1989;38:101–11.
- Mathur BC, Karan S, Vijaya Devi KK. Congenital malformations in the newborn. Indian Pediatr.1975;12:179–83. [PubMed]
- Sugunabi NS, Mascarane M, Syamala K, Nair PM. An etiological study of congenital malformations in new born. Indian Pediatr. 1982;19:1003–7. [PubMed]
- Kalra A, Kalra K, Sharma V, Singh M, Dayal RS. Congenital malformations. Indian Pediatr. 1984;24:945–50. [PubMed]

Legends Tables

Table 1

| | Total | Deliveries With |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Deliveries | Congenital Anomalies |
| | 1110 | 44 (3.9%) |
| Male | 532 | 28 (5.2%) |
| Female | 577 | 15 (2.5%) |
| Undiagnosed | 1 | 1 |
| Liveborn | 1086 | 36 (3.3%) |
| Stillborn | 24 | 6 (25%) |
| Twin | 17 | 2 (11.7%) |
| Deliveries | | |

Dr Jyotsna Kamra, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

Table 2

| Systemwise Distribution Of Congenital Anomalies | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|--|
| System | Number | Percentage | |
| CNS (Central Nervous | 28 | 63.6% | |
| System) | | | |
| CVS (Cardiovascular System) | 8 | 18.2% | |
| GI (Gastrointestinal System) | 4 | 9.1% | |
| MSK (Musculoskeleton | 2 | 4.5% | |
| System) | | | |
| GUT (Genitourinary Tract | 2 | 4.5% | |
| System) | | | |

Table 3

| Gestation | Total | Congenitally | percentage |
|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | deliveries | malformed | |
| Term | 992 | 23 | 2.3% |
| Preterm | 84 | 18 | 21.4% |
| Post term | 34 | 3 | 8.82% |

Table 4

| Birth | Total | Congenitally | Percentage |
|--------|------------|--------------|------------|
| weight | deliveries | malformed | |
| <1kg | 12 | 3 | 25% |
| 1-<1.5 | 35 | 2 | 5.7% |
| kg | | | |

| 1.5-<2kg | 136 | 6 | 4.4% |
|----------|-----|----|------|
| 2-<2.5kg | 289 | 19 | 6.6% |
| >=2.5kg | 638 | 14 | 2.2% |

Table 5

| Maternal | Total | Congenitally | Percentage |
|-----------|----------|--------------|------------|
| age | delivery | malformed | |
| <21 years | 52 | 4 | 12.5% |
| 21-25 | 746 | 21 | 2.8% |
| 26-30 | 223 | 12 | 5.4% |
| 31-35 | 72 | 3 | 4.2% |
| >35 | 17 | 4 | 23.5% |

Table 6

| Parity | Total | Congenitally | Percentage |
|----------|----------|--------------|------------|
| | delivery | malformed | |
| Primi | 387 | 11 | 2.8% |
| Para 1-3 | 656 | 25 | 3.8% |
| Para >3 | 67 | 8 | 11.9% |

 $\dot{P}_{age}294$