

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR: A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com

Volume - 5, Issue - 6, November - 2020, Page No.: 18 - 21

To study association between co-morbidity and difficulties encountered during fixation of trochanteric fractures with Proximal femoral Nailing operated in the department of orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Kangra at Tanda.

¹Sunny Dua, Resident, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

²Lokesh Thakur, Associate Professor, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kanga, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

¹Sarvesh Kumar Singh, Resident, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

¹Devinder Kumar, Resident, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

³Bhanu Awasthi, Principal & Professor, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Author: Devinder Kumar, Resident, Deptt of Orthopaedics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College, Kangra, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India.

Citation this Article: Sunny Dua, Lokesh Thakur, Sarvesh Kumar Singh, Devinder Kumar ,Bhanu Awasthi, "To study association between co-morbidity and difficulties encountered during fixation of trochanteric fractures with Proximal femoral Nailing operated in the department of orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Kangra at Tanda.", IJMSIR- November - 2020, Vol – 5, Issue - 6, P. No. 18 – 21.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Trochanteric fractures are one of the commonest fractures seen in elderly people. We conducted a study association between co-morbidity and difficulties encountered during fixation of trochanteric fractures with Proximal femoral Nailing operated in the department of orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Kangra at Tanda.

Methods: This study was conducted on patients presenting to the Department of Orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Tanda with trochanteric fractures and fulfilling the criteria and studied for a period of one year starting from the date of study. The patients were

clinically evaluated at the time of admission. Demographic data of the patients such as age, sex, preoperative mobility status, pre-existing co-morbidities, type of fracture/fracture classification, degree of osteoporosis (measured by Singh's Index) were noted.

Results: Hypertension was the most common comorbidity in 22 patients (with no difficulty) and anaemia in the patients with difficulty. Severity of osteoporosis was not significantly associated with the difficulties encountered

Conclusion: In this study, we observed that technical difficulty in PFN can arise in any patient irrespective of their comorbid conditions.

Keywords: Comorbid, PFN, Difficulty

Introduction

Trochanteric fractures are one of the commonest fractures seen in elderly people. 1,2 With the increase in life expectancy, these fractures are more common in our practice today. These elderly people have many associated co-morbid conditions like hypertension, poor cardio-pulmonary reserve and the quality of life of these patients will be poor until they are mobilized early.

Various studies on intramedullary devices have shown that there are complications with nail to make screw cut out, proximal femoral fracture, higher reoperation rates, wound infection.³ Also, none of these studies have studied or described how neck shaft angle is maintained in patients treated with PFN (proximal femoral nailing), thus highlighting the superiority of PFN over DHS (dynamic hip screw) in preventing varus collapse.

PFN with slightly reduced proximal diameter and two screw systems became popular although considering complications like Z effect and technical difficulties.

This study was thus aimed at understanding the difficulties encountered during fixation of trochanteric fractures with proximal femoral nailing in the patients presenting to the department of Orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Kangra at Tanda.

Material and Methods

This study was conducted on patients presenting to the Department of Orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Tanda with trochanteric fractures and fulfilling the criteria and studied for a period of one year starting from the date of study.

The patients were clinically evaluated at the time of admission. Demographic data of the patients such as age, sex, pre-operative mobility status, pre-existing co-

morbidities, type of fracture/fracture classification, degree of osteoporosis (measured by Singh's Index) were noted.

To establish the diagnosis, all these relevant investigations like X-ray, CT scan (if required) were performed.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Patients of trochanteric fractures planned for operative procedure with PFN.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Patients with associated fracture of neck of femur, shaft of femur of same side.
- 2. Patients with polytrauma.
- 3. Patients with multiple fractures.
- 4. Pathological fractures
- 5. Patients who are unwilling to participate in study.

Statistical Analysis

Data were presented as frequency, percentages, mean, and standard deviation. Student t-test was used to compare quantitative variables between 2 groups. Categorical variables were compared using Chi square test. P value <0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v21.

Results

The present study was aimed to evaluate intraoperative difficulties encountered during fixation of trochanteric fractures with PFN. A total of 200 patients were included in the study over the period of one year at Department of Orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Tanda. Results of the study have been described below:

Hypertension was the most common co-morbidity in 21% (n=42) patients followed by anemia in 14.5% (n=29) patients and diabetes mellitus in 9% patients. 34.5% (n=69) patients had no co-morbidity.

Table 1: Co-morbidities (n=200)

	n	%
Anemia	29	14.5
COPD	7	3.5
CAD	6	3
CKD	8	4
DM	18	9
Hypertension	42	21
ТВ	5	2.5
Poor CPR	6	3
Others	10	5
No comorbidity	69	34.5
~~~~ ~		~

COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CAD, Coronary Artery Disease; CKD, Chronic Kidney Disease; DM, Diabetes mellitus; TB, Tuberculosis; CPR, Cardiopulmonary Reserve

Table 2: Co-morbidities (n=200)

	No Difficulty	Difficulty	
	Encountered	Encountered	
	(n=58)	(n=142)	
Anemia	2	27	
COPD	3	4	
CAD	1	5	
CKD	2	6	
DM	8	10	
Hypertension	22	20	
TB	3	2	
Poor CPR	6	0	
Others	1	4	
No	10	59	
comorbidity			

COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CAD, Coronary Artery Disease; CKD, Chronic Kidney Disease; DM, Diabetes mellitus; TB, Tuberculosis; CPR, Cardiopulmonary Reserve

Table 3: Association between Osteoporosis (Singh's Index) and intraoperative difficulty encountered

	No Difficulty Encountered (n=58)	Difficulty Encountered (n=142)	P Value
Grade 1	1	2	0.448
Grade 2	15	32	
Grade 3	19	54	
Grade 4	16	26	
Grade 5	3	19	
Grade 6	4	9	

In our study, out of 142 patients in whom intraoperative difficulties were encountered, 88 patients had severe osteoporosis. However, severity of osteoporosis was not associated with intraoperative difficulty.

#### **Discussion**

This study was conducted on patients presenting to the Department of Orthopaedics at Dr RPGMC Tanda with trochanteric fractures and fulfilling the criteria and studied for assessment of difficulties during fixation of trochanteric fractures with PFN.

PFN is an intramedullary device and has all advantages of intramedullary biomechanics, such as decreasing the moment arm, can be performed by closed technique, which preserve the fracture hematoma and it's an important consideration in fracture healing, it also decrease blood loss, infection risk, minimizes soft tissue dissection and wound related complications. The PFN system offers some major biomechanical innovations. Axial loading in A1 and A2 fractures leads to fracture impaction, whereas in A3 fractures such impaction doesn't occur, and medial displacement of the distal fragment of the fracture is common due to the

instability. PFN for A3 type unstable fracture has superior results; PFN has been shown to prevent the fractures of the femoral shaft by having a smaller distal shaft diameter which reduces stress concentration at the tip.⁶

Due to its position close to the weight-bearing axis the stress generated on the intramedullary implants is negligible. The PFN implant also acts as a buttress in preventing the medialization of the shaft. The entry portal of the PFN through the trochanter limits the surgical insult to the tendinous hip abductor musculature, only unlike those nails which require entry through the pyriformis fossa. The stabilizing and the compression screws of the PFN adequately compress the fracture, leaving between them a bone block for further revision should the need arise.

In other case, broken piece of guide wire was in the neck, which we removed successfully by reverse reaming.

In osteoporotic patients, we under-ream (6.4 mm) the proximal fragment to achieve better purchase of lag screw (8 mm).

Problems during distal locking screw through zig in short nail, in one patient after putting distal screw, it was checked in C- arm in AP/Lat view, screw seemed to be in the hole, but it was found outside hole in post-op digital X-rays. Then, we tried to remove the screw, but we couldn't remove the screw (that was outside hole) and then we put another screw in distal locking hole.

### Conclusion

As our study observed that reduction, entry point, and guide wire passage were the most common difficulties faced intraoperatively and we solved them at the fracture table immediately. In this study, we observed

that technical difficulty in PFN can arise in any patient irrespective of their comorbid conditions.

#### References

- Saudan M, Lubbeke A, Sadowskil C, Riand N, Stern R, Hoffmeyer P. Pertrochanteric fractures: Is there an advantage to an intramedullary nail? A randomized prospective study of 206 patients comparing the Dynamic hip screw and Proximal Femoral Nail. J Orthop Trauma. 2002;16:386-93
- Pajarinen J, Lindahl J, Michelsson O, Savolainen V, Hirvensalo E. Pertrochanteric Femoral Fractures Treated with a Dynamic Hip Screw or a Proximal Femoral Nail. A Randomized Study Comparing Post-Operative Rehabilitation. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2005;87:76-81
- 3. Evans EM. The Treatment of Trochanteric Fractures of the Femur. J Bone Joint Surg. 1949;31-B:190-203.
- 4. Kalliguddi S, Jawali V, Reneesh UP. Proximal femoral nail in the mangement of peritrochanteric fractures femur and its functional outcome. Int J Res Pharm Biomed Sci. 2013;4:1276-86.
- Korkmaz MF, Erdem MN, Disli Z, Selcuk EB, Karakaplan M, et al. Outcomes of trochanteric femoral fractures treated with proximal femoral nail: an analysis of 100 consecutive cases. Clin Interv Aging 2014;9:569.
- Gadegone WM, Salphale YS. Proximal femoral nail-an analysis of 100 cases of proximal femoral fractures with an average follow up of 1 year. Int Orthop. 2007;31:403-8.
- 7. Menezes DF, Gamulin A, Noesberger B. Is the proximal femoral nail a suitable implant for treatment of all trochanteric fractures? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2005;439: 221-7.